CE0973a - Issues in Network Security 13: Future Challenges, Planning

James A Sutherland

Abertay University

Monday, 18th April 2016

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Early dialup: static IP! (Demon)

- Later dynamic, allocate and log at dialin
- Still one IP address per customer
- IPv4: 4 billion address globally, 'enough for everyone...'

- Early dialup: static IP! (Demon)
- Later dynamic, allocate and log at dialin
- Still one IP address per customer
- IPv4: 4 billion address globally, 'enough for everyone...'

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Early dialup: static IP! (Demon)
- Later dynamic, allocate and log at dialin
- Still one IP address per customer
- IPv4: 4 billion address globally, 'enough for everyone...'

- Early dialup: static IP! (Demon)
- Later dynamic, allocate and log at dialin
- Still one IP address per customer
- IPv4: 4 billion address globally, 'enough for everyone...'



More customers than IPv4 addresses

- No IPv6 critical mass (yet)
- So, customers have to share: CGNAT

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



- More customers than IPv4 addresses
- No IPv6 critical mass (yet)
- So, customers have to share: CGNAT

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



- More customers than IPv4 addresses
- No IPv6 critical mass (yet)
- So, customers have to share: CGNAT

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



- More customers than IPv4 addresses
- No IPv6 critical mass (yet)
- So, customers have to share: CGNAT

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

An IPv4 address used to identify a customer

- Not a person, though, important distinction
- With CGNAT, it doesn't even identify that much
- Port mapping logs expand rapidly, need time+IP for ID

- An IPv4 address used to identify a customer
- Not a person, though, important distinction
- With CGNAT, it doesn't even identify that much
- Port mapping logs expand rapidly, need time+IP for ID

- An IPv4 address used to identify a customer
- Not a person, though, important distinction
- With CGNAT, it doesn't even identify that much
- Port mapping logs expand rapidly, need time+IP for ID

- An IPv4 address used to identify a customer
- Not a person, though, important distinction
- With CGNAT, it doesn't even identify that much
- Port mapping logs expand rapidly, need time+IP for ID

Important distinction in forensics

- People commit crimes
- Devices hold data
- Addresses download content
- HMA v Barry Stewart, Aberdeen 2014

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Important distinction in forensics

People commit crimes

- Devices hold data
- Addresses download content
- HMA v Barry Stewart, Aberdeen 2014

- Important distinction in forensics
- People commit crimes
- Devices hold data
- Addresses download content
- HMA v Barry Stewart, Aberdeen 2014

- Important distinction in forensics
- People commit crimes
- Devices hold data
- Addresses download content
- HMA v Barry Stewart, Aberdeen 2014

- Important distinction in forensics
- People commit crimes
- Devices hold data
- Addresses download content
- HMA v Barry Stewart, Aberdeen 2014

More servers and routers

- More data to log
- More retention and search requirements
- Investigate abuse, billing, law enforcement
- Central logging servers: syslog, databases
- Timestamps need to match! NTP, timezones (UTC)

More servers and routers

More data to log

- More retention and search requirements
- Investigate abuse, billing, law enforcement
- Central logging servers: syslog, databases
- Timestamps need to match! NTP, timezones (UTC)

- More servers and routers
- More data to log
- More retention and search requirements
- Investigate abuse, billing, law enforcement
- Central logging servers: syslog, databases
- Timestamps need to match! NTP, timezones (UTC)

- More servers and routers
- More data to log
- More retention and search requirements
- Investigate abuse, billing, law enforcement
- Central logging servers: syslog, databases
- Timestamps need to match! NTP, timezones (UTC)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- More servers and routers
- More data to log
- More retention and search requirements
- Investigate abuse, billing, law enforcement
- Central logging servers: syslog, databases
- Timestamps need to match! NTP, timezones (UTC)

- More servers and routers
- More data to log
- More retention and search requirements
- Investigate abuse, billing, law enforcement
- Central logging servers: syslog, databases
- Timestamps need to match! NTP, timezones (UTC)

Central Logging

Windows Remote Logging since Vista/2008¹
Unix: syslog since 1980s, standardised 2001 RFC3164²
Also SNMP, 1988, RFC1065 on³

¹http://www.windowsecurity.com/articles-tutorials/ authentication_and_encryption/ Centralized-Auditing-here-FREE.html

Central Logging

Windows Remote Logging since Vista/2008¹
Unix: syslog since 1980s, standardised 2001 RFC3164²
Also SNMP, 1988, RFC1065 on³

¹http://www.windowsecurity.com/articles-tutorials/ authentication_and_encryption/ Centralized-Auditing-here-FREE.html ²https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3164 ³https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1065

Central Logging

Windows Remote Logging since Vista/2008¹
Unix: syslog since 1980s, standardised 2001 RFC3164²
Also SNMP, 1988, RFC1065 on³

What if logging fails? Lost server, out of space.

- Fail-safe: continue without logs, or discard older ones.
- Fail-secure: shut down instead. Government systems do that...

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- What if logging fails? Lost server, out of space.
- Fail-safe: continue without logs, or discard older ones.
- Fail-secure: shut down instead. Government systems do that...

- What if logging fails? Lost server, out of space.
- Fail-safe: continue without logs, or discard older ones.
- Fail-secure: shut down instead. Government systems do that...

- What if logging fails? Lost server, out of space.
- Fail-safe: continue without logs, or discard older ones.
- Fail-secure: shut down instead. Government systems do that...



Have logs been tampered with?

- Difficult on compromised systems
- Some clever crypto tricks, remote witness

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



- Have logs been tampered with?
- Difficult on compromised systems
- Some clever crypto tricks, remote witness



- Have logs been tampered with?
- Difficult on compromised systems
- Some clever crypto tricks, remote witness



- Have logs been tampered with?
- Difficult on compromised systems
- Some clever crypto tricks, remote witness



What does a log really say?

- Not the user did X, but their account did
- Important enough distinction for suspects to walk!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



- What does a log really say?
- Not the user did X, but their account did
- Important enough distinction for suspects to walk!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



- What does a log really say?
- Not the user did X, but their account did
- Important enough distinction for suspects to walk!

Authentication Who are you?

Authorisation What can you do? Signature Tamper-detection Encryption Read-prevention Replay attack Stop things being reuse

Authentication Who are you? Authorisation What can you do?

Signature Tamper-detection Encryption Read-prevention Replay attack Stop things being reused

Authentication Who are you? Authorisation What can you do? Signature Tamper-detection Encryption Read-prevention Replay attack Stop things being reused

Authentication Who are you? Authorisation What can you do? Signature Tamper-detection Encryption Read-prevention Replay attack Stop things being reused

Authentication Who are you? Authorisation What can you do? Signature Tamper-detection Encryption Read-prevention Replay attack Stop things being reused

Biometrics

- Two-factor
- One-time Passwords

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Biometrics

- Two-factor
- One-time Passwords

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Biometrics

- Two-factor
- One-time Passwords

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Biometrics
- Two-factor
- One-time Passwords

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Week 13 Tasks

Look at past papers, check you can answer them all!

Also try enabling two-factor authentication where you can, e.g. Facebook

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Week 13 Tasks

- Look at past papers, check you can answer them all!
- Also try enabling two-factor authentication where you can, e.g. Facebook